

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Lamont Garner Karriem,

## Plaintiff

V.

## Cellco Partnership Inc., et al.,

## Defendants

Lead Case No.  
2:20-cv-00884-JAD-VCF

Member Case No.  
2:20-cv-01915-JAD-VCF

## **Order Referring Case to Magistrate Judge for Screening**

[ECF No. 3]

10 Pro se plaintiff Lamont Garner Karriem sues numerous parties for an arrest allegedly  
11 caused by many companies unlawfully divulging his information to law enforcement. Karriem  
12 initiated three lawsuits against the various defendants, all of which were consolidated into this  
13 case.<sup>1</sup> In one of those suits, Magistrate Judge Elayna Youschah recommends that I dismiss  
14 Karriem's 85-page complaint with leave to amend his complaint in 30 pages or less.<sup>2</sup> Karriem  
15 objects to that recommendation, arguing that it's impossible for him to distill his claims down,<sup>3</sup>  
16 and he offers an 83-page amended complaint for the court's review instead.<sup>4</sup> In his other two  
17 suits, Karriem's amended complaints await screening by the magistrate judge.

18 To streamline the process of screening all Karriem's complaints in this now-consolidated  
19 matter, I reject Magistrate Judge Youchah's recommendation to dismiss Karriem's claims and  
20 refer his amended complaint in *Karriem v. County of Clark, Nevada, et al.*, 2:20-cv-01915-JAD-

<sup>1</sup> See ECF No. 15 (order consolidating cases).

<sup>2</sup> *Karriem v. Cnty. of Clark, Nev., et al.*, 2:20-cv-01915-JAD-VCF, ECF No. 3 at 2–3.

23||<sup>3</sup> *Karriem*, 2:20-cv-01915-JAD-VCF, ECF No. 4.

<sup>4</sup> *Karriem*, 2:20-cv-01915-JAD-VCF, ECF No. 6.

1 VCF to the magistrate judge for screening alongside his other outstanding complaints. And  
2 because I reject Judge Youchah's report and recommendation in the interests of justice, I  
3 overrule as moot Karriem's objection to that recommendation. If, in screening the three  
4 complaints, the magistrate judge determines that any of Karriem's claims should proceed or  
5 wishes to place reasonable limits on his amended pleadings, Karriem may be required to amend  
6 his complaint to include only the surviving claims in a single, page-limited complaint.

7 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the **magistrate judge's report and**  
8 **recommendation [ECF No. 3] in *Karriem v. County of Clark, Nevada, 2:20-cv-01915-JAD-***  
9 **VCF is REJECTED** and Karriem's objections are **overruled as moot**.

10 This case is **REFERRED** to the magistrate judge to screen Karriem's three amended  
11 complaints:

Case Name	Case Number	Docket Number
<i>Karriem v. County of Clark, Nevada, et al.</i>	2:20-cv-01915-JAD-VCF	ECF No. 6
<i>Karriem v. Celco Partnership Inc., et al.</i>	2:20-cv-00884-JAD-VCF	ECF No. 11
<i>Karriem v. Extended Stay America Inc., et al.</i>	2:20-cv-00942-JAD-VCF	ECF No. 10

17  
18   
19 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey  
20 June 28, 2021